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Topics

• The Rise of the Internet and the Rise of the Middle layer
• Internet-Scale Identity
• Collaboration Tools
• Putting the Parts Together
  • Especially for VO’s
  • Some principles for application designers
The Rise of the Internet

• Making the technology: The late sixties and seventies established the core TCP/IP technologies and value to the CS community
• Making the market: The eighties made a mass market of technology, applications and content
• Making the business: The nineties created business plans and businesses
Takeaways

• Modular and layered design
• Open standards, open source
• Autonomous systems, loosely coupled
• Making a market is critical; sales are hard at first
The Rise of the Middle layer

- Development of campus and enterprise services common to many applications
  - Directories, enterprise authentication, group and privilege management, identity management
  - Policies and business processes
- Federations to extend middleware to interrealm needs
  - Attributes and federating software
  - Trust policies
Where we are now

- Many enterprises have basic middleware services connected to some applications
- Federations, and federated identity, are growing and learning to interact with other federations
- We are close to resolving Internet identity
- We are just beginning to understand linked identities, attribute flows, privacy mechanisms, etc.
Takeaways

• Modular and layered design
• Open standards, open source
• Autonomous systems, loosely coupled
• Making a market is critical; sales are hard at first
Requirements for Internet identity

• Fewer Internet sign-ons
• Preservation of privacy, especially across international boundaries
• Several layers of assurance of identity, to deal with low-risk to high-risk applications
• Ease of deployment
• Ease of use
Types of Internet identity

- Federated
  - Leveraging enterprise identity for inter-realm purposes
  - Authentication, entitlements and attributes are the common payloads
  - Privacy, security and trust are the critical issues
  - Is hard to do
- P2P
  - Originally PGP, now Infocard, OpenId, etc.
  - Need trust fabrics - may be coupled with reputation systems for trust
  - Is easy to do
- Both are growing at exponential rates
Federated Identity

- Enterprises exchanging assertions about users
  - Often identity based but can provide scale and preserve privacy through the use of attributes
  - Real time exchanges of standardized attribute/value pairs
- Basis for trusting the exchanged assertions via common policies, legal agreements, contracts, laws, etc.
- Federations offer a flexible and largely scalable privacy preserving identity management infrastructure
An adoption curve

SWITCHaai Federation End of 2006

>75% coverage in higher education
The rise of federations

• Federations are now occurring broadly, and internationally, to support inter-institutional and external partner collaborations
• Almost all in the corporate world are bi-lateral; almost all in the R&E world are multilateral
• They provide a powerful leverage of enterprise credentials
• Federations are learning to peer
• Internal federations are also proving quite useful
• (Note: federated *.*, but not Internet identity scale)
International R&E federations

• Substantial deployments in many countries, including UK, Norway, Switzerland, US, Australia, France, Denmark, Finland, Spain, Germany, Netherlands, etc.
• Most are Shib based; some use other SAML products, PAPI, etc…
• Scope of membership usually higher ed, but some are broader, e.g. UK, Spain, Netherlands
• Use cases range from content access to collaboration support to learning management systems to wireless roaming to…
• Many are NREN-leveraged; some like IGTF are not
Technical Aspects of Federations

• Federating protocol
• Enterprise signing keys
• Metadata management and WAYF service
• Enterprise Identity Management practices
Policy Aspects of Federations

- Participant operational practices
- Agreement between federation and members
- Standardized attributes
  - eduPerson
  - Levels of Assurance (LOA)
Relationships among federations

- Peering
- Confederation
  - Presumes peering, adds multi-federation support
- Leveraged
  - Specialized federations that extend a common base federation – e.g. the California system
- Intersecting
Peering Parameters

Parameters:

• LOA
• Attribute mapping
• Legal structures
  • Liability
  • Adjudication
• Metadata
  • VO Support
• Economics
• Privacy
Some inter-federation key issues

- Multi-protocols
- Sharing metadata
- Aligning policies
- WAYF functionality
- Dispute resolution
- Virtual organization support
Prague Meeting on Inter-federation

• 15-20 International R&E federations
• Hangers on: Liberty Alliance, ITU, Gartner, etc…
• Several key areas for agreements - LOA mapping (generally okay), Attribute mapping, Privacy Policies, Dispute resolution, Financial considerations, Technical direction setting
• Ongoing process mechanism
• Prague, September 3
P2P Identities

- Provides tokens for interpersonal trust
- Initially PGP, now OpenId, Infocard
- Use cases include blogs and wikis, file and photo sharing, some encrypted email, etc.
- Active space – Cardspace in MS Vista, Higgins and the Bandits, OpenId, etc.
- Several layers
  - Globally unique identifier
  - Hooks to a trust or reputation system
  - Mobility solution
  - Protocol layers
P2P Development

• Growth is dramatic
• Plugs into almost any application
• Integration with Infocard
• Starting to hit the hard issues:
  • Revocation
  • Delegation and transitive trust
  • Privacy
Identity integration goals

• First, of federated and p2p identity
  • Many levels of integration – tokens, GUI, privacy management paradigm, trust fabrics…

• Then, of identity and privilege management
  • Assignment and management of permissions to users by those with authority to grant such access
  • Addresses the static aspects of the authorization space, with audit, delegation, prerequisites, etc.
  • Permissions can be enterprise or virtual organization
A Bloom of Collaboration Tools

• An over-abundance of new tools that provide rich and growing collaboration capabilities (aka Web 2.0)
• Do you
  • Wiki, blog, moodle, sakai, IM, Chat, videoconference, audioconference, calendar, flikr, netmeeting, access grid, dimdim, listserv, webdav, etc
  • Share files among workgroups, access Elsevier, work with the IEEE, etc
• No uber-app – limits invention and community of users
• 3 - 4 is fine, but many per user is hard to manage
Collaboration Tools design issues

• Asynchronous vs synchronous
• Integration of content across tools
• Managing presence
• Community of use
• Managing privacy
• Many, many tools have overlapping parts that do not interoperate
• How much collaboration can we handle?
  • People
  • Tools
Collaboration Tools and Identity Management

• Deeply enriches collaboration tools
  • Fine-grain access control and wikis
    • spaces.internet2.edu
    • “member of the community” processes
  • Transparently shared file stores
  • Collaboratively visible calendaring
  • Embedded VO IM channels in campus portals
Relieving the Pain of Rich Collaboration Management

• Commonly manage which identities and which attributes can use the capabilities of the collaboration tools
• Can offer delegation, privacy management, maybe even diagnostics
• COmanage
Collaboration Tools and Identities

• Enterprise, VO, and P2P persona are in all of us – our day job, our second job, the rest of our life…
• When and how we integrate the persona needs to be carefully done – legal, ethical, personal issues
• The abundance of communication and collaboration devices makes this harder
Putting It All Together

• Real life and the attribute ecosystem
• Identity management and VO’s
  • The collaborative processes
    • “Internet-scale collaboration”
  • The domain work
• Takeaways for developers
Real life and the attribute ecosystem
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Identity Management and VO’s

• Enterprises, federations, and peering can offer VO’s flexible and sustainable identity management support
  • Collaboration
  • Domain science
  • Integrating instruction and research
• Commitments seem to be there, and the technologies are being deployed…
• Transitions from current duct-tape can be done incrementally
VOs plumbed to federations
Collaboration and Virtual Organizations

• VOs are first collaborative organizations
  • General collaboration tools – listservs, wikis, audioconferencing, videoconferencing, shared calendars, etc.
  • Academic collaboration tools – grant proposal and administration management, paper development and publication
• Many support components for such activities can also meet needs in the domain science management
Domain science and federations

- Identity, management of groups, management of privileges to those identities and groups in the domain applications can be shared with the collaboration piece
- First steps are federated identity:
  - Several projects are combining Grids and Shibboleth
  - A bio-informatics grid is using federated identity and some group management
  - Most are entry level work designed for quick benefits
- Final steps lie in attribute-oriented controls and functioning within the attribute ecosystem
- Other aspects, such as workflow and diagnostics may be integrated
Integration of education and research

• Class lists can be easily assigned domain science capabilities; TA’s can have simple domain privileges
• Domain science materials can be presented within collaborative settings
• Scientific, scholarly and business workflows can interoperate
Getting there from here

• Open standards underlie the work
• VO Service Centers can play multiple roles, from collaborative service platform instances to training others on deployments of platforms
• Ultimately the challenge is about the applications depending on infrastructure more than the management platform itself
• Existing applications, especially big ones, are hard to reengineer
Takeaways for application developers

- Understand the attribute ecosystem
- Leverage the trust fabrics
- Properly done, the infrastructure can bring in lots of use cases to the domain.
- Be conservative in the data you send, be liberal in the data you accept
- The first thing one learns from an interoperability protocol is all the ways in which we can’t operationally interoperate
A few more takeaways

• The sooner you start, the longer it takes
• Try doing it with the engine running
• Perfection is achieved, not when there is nothing more to add, but when there is nothing left to take away.
• The only numbers of importance in computing are 1, 2 and many - with its meta counting variant: 1, 2, Schema
• Any piece of software reflects the organizational structure that produced it
• In theory, there is no difference between theory and practice; In practice, there is